

#### The Crossroads of Chemistry and Toxicology: Advancing Greener, Safer Ingredients and Products

Pamela J. Spencer, Ph.D., D.A.B.T. Senior Director of Regulatory Affairs & Product Stewardship ANGUS Chemical Company



C h e m Toxicology s t r

У



### **Overview**



- Our Products
- Market Challenges
- New Product Introduction
- Early safety screening strategies
- Next steps
- Q&A





### **OUR PRODUCTS**



# Chemistry



ANGUS is the only manufacturer in the world that uses propane nitration technology to create a unique set of products.



### **Performance Attributes**



- Improves the quality and performance of a variety of formulations
- Extremely versatile and can be tailored to solve multiple challenges
- Multifunctional attributes may include several of the following:
  - Neutralization
  - Corrosion Control
  - Surfactancy
  - pH buffering
  - Moisture Scavenging
  - Oxygen Scavenging

- Alkaline pH development
- Emulsification (oil/water; water/oil)
- Moisture Displacement
- Dispersancy
- Chemical scavenging
- Free-radical scavenging
- Many of our products are known for their mildness and are suitable for sensitive applications such as personal care, pharmaceuticals and other life science utilities

### **Market Overview**



Global specialty platform serving a diversified set of industries





#### **MARKET CHALLENGES**



#### Ingredient Safety – Ingredient Bans





#### Toxic Chemicals in Shampoo





#### 3 APPS FOR CHECKING PRODUCT INGREDIENTS

DISSECTING IN-N-OUT

FOOD BABE

BURGER & FRIES Meat from large Factory Farms where beef is raised with Routine Antibiotics which is

is raised with **Routine Antiblotics** which is putting us at risk for contracting dangerous antibiotic-resistant infections that can no longer be treated with antibiotics.

- Meat that is raised with **Growth Hormones** that are linked to increased cancer risk.
- French Fries are submerged and fried in GMO Cottonseed OII - one of the worst inflammation promoting oils grown with toxic pesticides not approved for food.

 Sandwiched between buns made with Fully Hydrogenated Soybean Oll and Sugar Beets likely from GMO crops heavily treated with Monsanto's Roundup Herbicide, a probable carcinogen according to the World Health Organization.

- Slathered with sauce made with **High** Fructose Corn Syrup shown to contribute to Type II Diabetes, especially in children.
- Sauce artificially colored with **Yellow #5** derived from petroleum and linked to childhood behavioral problems requiring a warning label in Europe.

The complete ingredient list is **TOP SECRET**. and until In-N-Out releases it you'll never know exactly what you're eating!

#FoodBabeArmy

FoodBabe.com

#### BATH BOMBS SAFE?







# **NEW PRODUCT INTRODUCTION**



# **New product Introduction (NPI)**





### **Iterative Nature of Early R&D**





# Integration of New Tools into NPI



CHEMICAL COMPAN

W

angus.com

#### WE MAKE THE BEST PERFORM BETTER.



# Early Screens: Toxicology "Red Flags"

- CMRs
  - <u>Carcinogen</u>
  - <u>M</u>utagen
  - <u>Reproductive/Developmental Toxicant</u>
- PBTs
  - Persistent
  - <u>B</u>ioaccumulative
  - Toxic to the Environment



#### **Confirm Relevant QSAR Models for Target Chemistry**



#### **QSAR Validation Project**

- A number of QSAR models and assessed their applicability for the ANGUS nitroparaffin and derivative chemicals with reference to sensitization, mutagenicity, and ready biodegradability
- TopKat QSAR model not recommended for future use for Angus molecules
- In silico models were improved by acquiring additional data to enhance the training sets
- For mutagenicity the QSAR tools appear to have some degree of reliability
- In the case of skin sensitization, it is evident that providing a predictive set of in vitro and QSAR models is complex and needs further research
  - conflicting results from *in vitro* assays compared with *in vivo* assay may be the result of the potential for *in vivo* skin metabolism, corrosive properties of these compounds
  - different vehicles used in performing the tests
- For biodegradability when multiple models were used some reliability was also found.

#### Flow Chart of Screening Level Assessment & Green Chemical Evaluation Process







### EARLY SAFETY SCREENING STRATEGIES IN PRACTICE



#### It all starts with a structure!





# Which one is safer?



#### Step 1. Read Across to a Chemically Similar Analog\*Angus\*



\*The chemical structures and following data are meant to serve as an illustrative example and do not represent an actual data for the structures.



#### **Step 2. OECD Toolbox Modeling for Candidate Chemical**

| Endpoint                   | Model(s)                            | Result                         |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Acute Oral                 | Cramer<br>Original/Extension        | Low Toxicity                   |
| Skin Irritation/Corrosion  | Inclusion/Exclusion<br>rules by BfR | Inclusion Rules Not Met        |
| Eye Irritation/Corrosion   | Inclusion/Exclusion<br>rules by BfR | Inclusion Rules Not Met        |
| Skin Sensitization         | OASIS v.1.4                         | No Alerts                      |
| Genotox                    | alerts by ISS                       | No Alerts                      |
| Repeated Dose              | HESS                                | Not Categorized                |
| Developmental/Reproduction | DART Scheme v.1.0.                  | No Alerts                      |
| Primary Biodegradation     | Biowin 4                            | Degradation in weeks to months |
| Ecotoxicity                | Verharr (Modified)                  | Determination Not Possible     |

#### **Report Out Weight of Evidence Safety Assessment**



- No significant alerts resulted from read across or modeling
- OECD Toolbox
  - Modeling results were supported by the testing for chemical analog, except for skin sensitization
  - Three endpoints (skin/eye irritation/corrosion and ecotoxicity) where the target molecule was out of scope for the models utilized

#### **Recommendations/Next Steps**



- Advanced candidate chemical to Step 3: in vitro testing to better characterize endpoints out of scope of modeling tools and/or did not align with chemical analog data
- Invitro Test Results:
  - > Skin/eye irritation non irritating
  - > Skin sensitization not a skin sensitizer
- Biodegradation/ecotoxicity screen may also be necessary
- Continue engagement with Project Management Team for NPI to plan for key studies need to ultimately register new product in target global regions

#### **Future Work**



- Collaborative project underway with UL to validate REACHAcross <sup>™</sup> Tool for use with ANGUS Chemistry
- Project will be modeled will utilize data set from previous QSAR validation work
- Goal determine if REACHAcross<sup>™</sup> tool has improved domain coverage over other QSAR tools currently utilized

### Summary



- Concerns over product safety can determine success or failure of product
- Companies can now apply quick and inexpensive tools for estimating EH&S issues early in product development (ID Red Flags)
- When a concern is highlighted via these tools a thoughtful approach to resolving the concern with toxicology data can be prepared in advance to avoid adverse findings in screening analysis
- Increases the ability to launch sustainable new products more cost effectively



# Thank You